

Gravity, Information, and the Logos: A Theological-Metaphysical Response to Sabine Hossenfelder's "How Gravity Can Explain the Collapse of the Wavefunction"

S. C. Sayles

DOI : 10.5281/zenodo.17366598)

Abstract

The measurement problem remains the most persistent fracture within modern physics, exposing the incompleteness of materialist ontology. Sabine Hossenfelder's recent paper, *How Gravity Can Explain the Collapse of the Wavefunction* (2025), proposes a novel unification of matter and geometry through a superdeterministic gravitational mechanism that yields a local and parameter-free account of wavefunction collapse. Her model, in which matter and geometry are fundamentally identical and constrained to product states, aims to reconcile the non-locality of quantum measurement with the local structure of spacetime.

This present study responds by re-examining Hossenfelder's proposal through the lens of **Logos-centred metaphysics**—an ontology in which reality is conceived as informationally sustained within the divine Field ($\text{I}\Delta\text{F}$) and ordered by the eternal Word. The paper argues that while Hossenfelder's identification of matter and geometry gestures toward a unified informational substratum, her superdeterministic framework lacks a coherent account of transcendental order and teleology. By integrating insights from Reformed theology, Van Tilian epistemology, and recent developments in quantum information theory, this essay contends that only a theistic ontology—wherein the Logos both generates and interprets the field of creation—can ground the intelligibility of collapse, locality, and probability.

The Logos-centred model re-interprets gravitational collapse as the **informational reconciliation** of creation to its Author: the restoration of coherence between the visible and invisible orders. This synthesis transforms the measurement problem

from a physical anomaly into a theological disclosure—that all quantum indeterminacy ultimately resolves in the personal intentionality of God, in whom “all things consist” (Col. 1:17).

2. Introduction — The Persistent Problem of Collapse

From the early decades of the twentieth century to the present, physics has endured a conceptual tension between the **linearity of quantum evolution** and the **definiteness of experience**. The Schrödinger equation predicts superposed states; observation discloses only one. Various interpretations—Copenhagen, many-worlds, spontaneous collapse—have attempted to reconcile the discontinuity between the mathematics of wave evolution and the immediacy of measurement. Yet none has satisfied both the empirical and metaphysical demands of coherence.

Into this fractured landscape, Sabine Hossenfelder introduces a daring proposition: that the apparent collapse of the wavefunction is not a stochastic or observer-dependent event but the local consequence of a **superdeterministic unification of matter and gravity**. Her model asserts that geometry and matter are not merely correlated but ontologically identical aspects of a single quantum entity. The wavefunction does not “collapse” by external measurement; it resolves internally through the gravitational self-consistency of the system. In this vision, the collapse is an emergent manifestation of locality restored—a reconciliation of the quantum with the metric.

This proposal, though mathematically subtle, carries profound philosophical implications. By eliminating free parameters and invoking superdeterminism, Hossenfelder aims to preserve locality and realism, yet she does so at the cost of rendering contingency and freedom mathematically obsolete. The resulting universe is fully specified, its apparent probabilities mere reflections of epistemic ignorance. The cosmos becomes a closed informational circuit: self-consistent, but mute regarding meaning.

The present response seeks neither to dismiss nor to merely theologise Hossenfelder's contribution. Rather, it interrogates the **metaphysical presuppositions** that underlie her construction. What is the ontological status of information if matter and geometry are one? How can superdeterminism account for teleology without appeal to transcendence? And can a closed system of local causality ever yield the personal and moral coherence evident in the human apprehension of truth?

These questions situate the discussion within a broader intellectual continuum reaching back to **John Wheeler's "It from Bit"**, **Penrose's gravitationally induced collapse**, and **Einstein's insistence on local realism**, but they also reach forward to theology's ancient claim: that **all physical order presupposes the Logos**—the rational Word through whom all information subsists. The Logos-centred metaphysic developed by Sayles interprets the informational unity of matter and geometry not as an impersonal self-closure but as the reflection of divine coherence, the structure of creation as communication.

Thus, while Hossenfelder's paper seeks a **local mechanism**, the present study seeks a **metaphysical meaning**. Where she unifies matter and geometry, this response unifies **physics and Word**; where her model presumes informational closure, the Logos-centred model reveals informational openness—the creature's dependence upon the infinite Mind.

In the pages that follow, the argument proceeds by first surveying the landscape of gravitational collapse theories (Section 3), then analysing the ontological identity of matter and geometry (Section 4), before developing a critique of superdeterminism in light of Reformed epistemology (Sections 5–7). The essay concludes by proposing an alternative synthesis: a Logos-centred field theory in which gravitational coherence is re-interpreted as the metaphysical signature of divine upholding—an act not of necessity, but of grace.

3. Survey of Quantum-Gravitational Collapse Theories

The collapse of the quantum wavefunction has long been regarded as the fissure through which metaphysics re-enters

physics. Every proposal that seeks to explain it tacitly defines its own ontology of being, causation, and information. The emergence of **gravitational models of collapse**—from Penrose to Diósi and, most recently, to Hossenfelder—signals a decisive shift from epistemic interpretation to ontological reconstruction. Where the Copenhagen school suspended metaphysical inquiry, these models demand it. This section surveys the principal contours of the debate, tracing the movement from Penrose’s gravitational self-energy argument to Hossenfelder’s superdeterministic unification, and situating both within the deeper question of reality’s informational ground.

3.1 Penrose and the Gravitational Self-Energy Hypothesis

Roger Penrose’s seminal insight was that quantum superpositions involving distinct spacetime geometries are inherently unstable. Each branch of a superposed mass distribution generates its own gravitational potential, and therefore its own local notion of time. The difference in these local lapse functions introduces an **energy uncertainty**, ΔE_{G} , that acts as a measure of the incompatibility between geometries. Penrose conjectured that when the accumulated phase difference reaches unity—when $\tau \Delta E_{\text{G}} \sim \hbar$ —the superposition decays spontaneously into a definite state. Collapse, in this view, is an **objective gravitational process**, not a subjective measurement.

This idea, though heuristic, performed an important metaphysical service: it re-centred the discussion upon **ontology rather than epistemology**. By rooting collapse in the structure of spacetime itself, Penrose affirmed that physical reality is not indefinitely malleable to observation. Yet his model remained formally non-local and teleological: the collapse criterion depends upon global features of the gravitational field and appears to “know” the end state in advance. Moreover, the Penrose-Diósi (PD) framework introduces a stochastic term whose amplitude is tuned by

phenomenological parameters, leaving the theory **empirically suggestive but ontologically incomplete**.

From a Logos-centred perspective, Penrose's model gestures toward a deeper truth it cannot articulate: the universe possesses an intrinsic orientation toward definiteness because it is **spoken**—its indeterminacies resolve into determinate actualities through an informational act that is ultimately linguistic and personal. The gravitational self-energy may serve as the physical analogue of the metaphysical pressure by which the Word calls being into form (Gen 1:3).

3.2 Diósi, Ghirardi-Rimini-Weber, and the Turn to Objective Reduction

Lajos Diósi's stochastic reformulation (1987–89) and the earlier **Ghirardi-Rimini-Weber (GRW)** model (1986) attempted to translate Penrose's philosophical conjecture into explicit dynamics. Both introduce a **non-linear, non-unitary term** into the Schrödinger equation, causing spontaneous localisation of wavefunctions at rates determined by particle number or mass density. These theories share a common aim: to render collapse a continuous, physical process rather than a postulate.

However, the price of physicality is **non-locality**. The random collapses implied by GRW propagate instantaneously across entangled systems, violating relativistic causality. Diósi's gravitational noise kernel likewise imports correlations that span spacelike intervals. The equations preserve empirical adequacy at the cost of metaphysical coherence: they describe a universe whose causal structure contradicts its own geometry.

From a theological standpoint, such models illustrate the futility of treating **collapse as emergent chaos**. Randomness, devoid of personal intention, cannot generate ordered reality. As Van Til observed, "Chance is simply another name for autonomy." Any cosmology that replaces divine decree with stochastic reduction inherits the incoherence it sought to

escape. The field of being becomes a theatre of statistical necessity, not covenantal order.

3.3 Hossenfelder's Local-Collapse Proposal (Prose Version)

Sabine Hossenfelder's 2025 paper represents one of the boldest attempts yet to resolve the measurement problem without sacrificing locality or realism. She rejects the twin assumptions that have dominated the debate since the mid-twentieth century—non-local causation and inherent indeterminacy—and instead argues that the apparent collapse of the wavefunction arises naturally once **matter and geometry are recognised as fundamentally identical**. In her view, the universe does not contain two interacting ontological orders—one of matter and one of spacetime—but a single informational structure that appears in dual aspect. The gravitational field is not a background in which matter moves, but the self-manifestation of matter itself; conversely, matter is the local curvature of geometry. This identification of matter with geometry leads her to restrict the usual Hilbert space of quantum gravity to a smaller subspace in which every quantum state of matter is paired with its corresponding geometrical configuration. No independent degrees of freedom remain, and the familiar entanglement between matter and geometry is excluded by definition.

Within this constrained framework, Hossenfelder proposes that what physicists perceive as wavefunction collapse is simply the **local readjustment of this unified state toward self-consistency** when gravitational information is taken into account. Ordinary quantum evolution, she argues, proceeds smoothly so long as gravitational effects are negligible. But when the mass or energy of a system becomes sufficient for the differences between possible geometries to matter, the evolution that would normally be predicted by the Schrödinger equation begins to deviate. The state then settles into one of the possible configurations that preserves local consistency between matter and geometry. The process looks, from the vantage of the observer, like a collapse into a definite outcome, but it is in fact a local equilibrium condition—a gravitational convergence of the system upon a single, self-coherent description.

In order to maintain strict locality, Hossenfelder employs the idea of **superdeterminism**. On this account, every measurement setting and every hidden variable are already correlated within the total history of the universe. What appears as randomness is the residue of human ignorance rather than an ontological feature of nature. The cosmos is thus portrayed as a single, self-consistent solution of its governing equations—a closed informational whole whose internal correlations determine every event, including the choices of experimenters. Probability becomes an expression of limited perspective rather than genuine openness. The collapse of the wavefunction, therefore, is not a random or externally caused event but the visible trace of a deeper **gravitational self-alignment** already written into the fabric of reality.

This construction has undeniable elegance. It eliminates arbitrary parameters, preserves locality, and suggests concrete experimental tests involving massive quantum superpositions. Yet the very clarity of its mechanism exposes the philosophical cost of its determinism. If the universe is wholly self-determined, no ontological space remains for freedom, interpretation, or intentional meaning. The cosmos becomes an algorithmic totality: perfect in coherence, silent in purpose. Hossenfelder's unification acknowledges, perhaps inadvertently, the deep informational unity of the world—but by denying any transcendent source of that unity, it leaves the field of being suspended in self-reference. The model offers **teleology without a telos**, a cosmic order without a mind to order it.

From the standpoint of Logos-centred metaphysics, this reveals both the strength and the limitation of Hossenfelder's approach. Her identification of matter and geometry rightly points toward the **informational monism** implicit in all creation; yet in her hands, this unity remains impersonal. The Logos-centred framework agrees that all material form and geometric structure are inseparable, but it insists that both are expressions of the **Word through whom all things were made**. The informational coherence that Hossenfelder attributes to gravity is, within a theistic ontology, the imprint of divine rationality within the fabric of space and time. Gravity, in this sense, does not explain collapse; it participates

in the deeper order by which multiplicity is drawn back into unity under the command of the Logos. The deterministic coherence she describes finds its true ground not in an impersonal superdeterminism but in the personal sovereignty of God, whose decrees uphold the field of creation and whose will alone can collapse potentiality into actuality.

3.4 Toward an Ontological Re-orientation

The survey thus exposes a continuum of assumptions:

- Penrose sought an objective but indeterminate gravity-induced reduction;
- Diósi and GRW translated collapse into stochastic dynamics;
- Hossenfelder proposes a deterministic and local unification.

All three share a conviction that **collapse is physical**, yet all diverge on the question of **meaning**. The Logos-centred alternative, developed in the sections that follow, retains the physical insights of gravitational models while restoring the metaphysical architecture they omit: the primacy of the **Word as informational ground**. In this framework, the gravitational field is not merely curvature but **communication**—the patterned inscription of divine rationality within the manifold of being. Collapse, consequently, is not the failure of superposition but the **resolution of multiplicity into the unity of meaning**.

4. The Ontological Stakes: Matter, Geometry, and Information

At the heart of Hossenfelder's proposal lies a profound metaphysical assertion: that **matter and geometry are one and the same reality**. The claim is scientific in its formulation but ontological in its implications. To merge the content of matter with the form of space-time is not merely to simplify equations; it is to redefine being itself. It is to deny the distinction between what exists and the structure within which

existence is possible. This move transforms the entire question of quantum gravity into a question of **informational ontology**—what it means for reality to be simultaneously physical and relational, energetic and geometric, concrete and abstract.

Hossenfelder's unification thus reopens an ancient debate that has travelled from Plato's *Timaeus* through Spinoza's monism to the contemporary physics of Wheeler and Barbour: whether the world's multiplicity is grounded in one underlying substance or in the Word that speaks substances into relation. Her model, by removing the boundary between matter and geometry, tacitly proposes that **the ultimate fabric of the universe is information itself**—a self-correlating order whose local configurations are the events we call particles, fields, and forces. This is a striking convergence with the long-standing intuition of physicists such as John Wheeler, who declared that "it from bit" describes the essence of creation. Yet where Wheeler left room for the question of meaning—who or what generates the bits—Hossenfelder's framework, bound by its superdeterministic closure, treats information as **self-existent**. The cosmos becomes an autonomous text without an author.

4.1 The Identity of Matter and Geometry

The identification of matter and geometry can be interpreted in two radically different ways. In a purely physical sense, it implies that the curvature of space-time encodes every property of the particles that inhabit it: mass, charge, spin, and energy. Geometry thus becomes not the stage of matter but its expression. In a metaphysical sense, however, this identification implies that the *being* of things is indistinguishable from the *relations* that constitute them. There are no substances, only patterns of relational coherence. The world is a web of informational symmetries without underlying essences.

Such a vision resonates with aspects of contemporary network physics and process philosophy, yet it conflicts with the classical conviction that **relation presupposes relata**—that patterns cannot exist apart from the entities that instantiate

them. If geometry and matter are indistinguishable, the act of distinction itself vanishes, and with it the logical foundation of knowledge. The Logos-centred framework responds by re-establishing distinction within unity: matter and geometry are indeed inseparable, but their unity is not ontological identity; it is **communicative correspondence**. The cosmos exists as the expression of divine speech, the unfolding of meaning into form. Geometry is the syntax of creation; matter is its semantics. To collapse them into one substance is to silence the dialogue that constitutes reality.

4.2 The Product-State Constraint and the Problem of Entanglement

Hossenfelder's model enforces what she calls the **product-state constraint**, whereby each quantum state of matter is paired with its own geometric configuration and no independent entanglement between the two is permitted. This device secures locality by ensuring that every particle's geometry already contains the information needed to define its own field. Yet the constraint has philosophical consequences. It implies that the universe contains no genuine *relations* beyond the self-similarity of its own informational states. Entanglement becomes an illusion—a mathematical artefact of limited description rather than an ontological bond between distinct entities.

From a Logos-centred viewpoint, this restriction risks erasing the very structure of communion upon which the intelligibility of creation depends. Entanglement, re-interpreted theologically, is not a flaw but a sign: it reveals that all finite entities are **mutually referenced within the Word**. The relational coherence of the quantum world mirrors the relational life of the Triune God. To suppress entanglement in the name of locality is to reduce the relational richness of creation to the sterility of mechanical consistency. The product-state constraint may preserve mathematical order, but it impoverishes metaphysical meaning.

4.3 Theological Parallels: Creation as Informational Field (IAF)

The Logos-centred metaphysic developed elsewhere by Sayles interprets reality as an **Information-Field (IAF)**: a continuous, higher-dimensional medium of divine communication in which all created being subsists. Within this field, information is not abstract data but **spoken structure**—the ordered transmission of form from the eternal Logos to the finite realm. Every act of physical measurement, every gravitational coupling, every quantum collapse, is a local manifestation of that deeper informational continuity.

Hossenfelder's proposal, viewed through this lens, can be seen as a partial intuition of the IAF principle. Her recognition that matter and geometry share a common informational content echoes the claim that "in Him all things consist." Yet by ascribing the unification to necessity rather than to will, she converts communication into computation. The field, in her account, is closed upon itself; it contains no transcendent reference. In the Logos-centred view, by contrast, the field is **open toward its Author**. It is not a self-contained system but a covenantal medium through which divine meaning is continually instantiated in temporal process.

Where Hossenfelder describes the collapse of the wavefunction as the system's adjustment to gravitational self-consistency, the Logos-centred ontology interprets it as the **re-alignment of information with truth**. Collapse occurs not merely because mass distorts space-time, but because the informational pattern of the system must resolve in harmony with the mind of its Creator. The gravitational field, therefore, becomes the physical signature of metaphysical fidelity—the obedience of creation to the form of the Word that sustains it.

4.4 Consequences for the Philosophy of Science

If Hossenfelder is correct that the universe is self-consistent by construction, then the role of the observer is purely passive. All outcomes are already encoded within the total configuration of matter-geometry identity. Yet scientific

inquiry presupposes the genuine possibility of discovery—that human reason can learn something not previously known. This epistemic openness is irreconcilable with absolute superdeterminism. The Logos-centred approach restores the necessary asymmetry: creation is determined by God but not by itself; the creature can know because it is addressed. Information is not merely present—it is **communicated**.

The implication is that a theology of revelation must undergird any complete theory of information. To speak of a “unified field” without a unifying speaker is to mistake syntax for meaning. Gravity may mediate coherence, but the Logos mediates truth. The collapse of the wavefunction, in this light, is not an impersonal event within a closed system; it is a **moment of disclosure** within the ongoing dialogue between Creator and creation—a local restoration of order to the informational field through which divine rationality continually sustains the cosmos.

5. Critical Analysis: Gravity, Locality, and the Superdeterministic Turn

Hossenfelder’s proposal achieves something rare in contemporary physics: it restores locality to the interpretation of measurement without appealing to hidden non-local variables or parallel branches. Yet the price of this restoration is the acceptance of **superdeterminism**—the claim that every event, including the apparently free choices of observers, is predetermined by correlations that stretch across the entire history of the cosmos. The universe, in her picture, is a single self-consistent solution to its own equations: a cosmic text that contains within itself the arrangement of every letter and the punctuation of every act. This section examines the philosophical and theological implications of that claim and contrasts it with the Logos-centred doctrine of sovereignty, which also affirms determinacy but grounds it in the personal will of God rather than in the necessity of the system.

5.1 The Appeal of Locality

For decades, the primary wound in the fabric of modern physics has been **non-locality**. Bell's theorem showed that no local hidden-variable theory can reproduce the predictions of quantum mechanics without violating either realism or freedom of measurement settings. Hossenfelder's response is ingenious: she accepts Bell's constraint but denies the independence of measurement settings themselves. If the detector's orientation and the particle's hidden variables are already correlated, the apparent non-locality dissolves into the deeper fabric of the universe's initial conditions. The cosmos, in this view, is not a theatre of open possibilities but a closed narrative in which every correlation has been written from the beginning.

This solution, while formally satisfying the physicist's desire for locality, replaces one mystery with another. It explains away the need for non-local influences by asserting a universal pre-arrangement so vast that it encompasses all acts of observation, thought, and intention. Locality is thus purchased at the cost of **contingency**. Where Einstein insisted that "God does not play dice," Hossenfelder's universe does not play at all; the dice have never existed.

5.2 The Hidden Teleology of Superdeterminism

Although often described as mechanistic, superdeterminism possesses a kind of inverted teleology. It treats the universe as a structure that must maintain **self-consistency across time**, as though every present event were retroactively aligned with its future measurement. The system behaves as if it "knows" what will be measured and adjusts its internal correlations accordingly. This is what Hossenfelder calls an "all-at-once" constraint: the total state of the cosmos is a single, self-coherent solution rather than a sequence of causal interactions.

Such a picture bears uncanny resemblance to ancient theological notions of **divine providence**, but without the divine. It ascribes to the universe the attributes of omniscience and immutability while denying the mind in which such attributes could reside. In effect, it endows the cosmos with

godlike necessity while stripping it of personhood. The result is what might be called *immanent teleology without transcendence*—purpose without a purposive agent.

From a Logos-centred perspective, this is the decisive metaphysical shortcoming. A universe that is self-consistent but not self-interpreting is ultimately mute. Consistency is a mathematical virtue, not an ontological one. To be coherent is not to be conscious. Without a transcendent mind to hold together the correlations, superdeterminism becomes a formal description of divine sovereignty with the Logos deleted from its syntax. It affirms predestination while denying the Predestinator.

5.3 Collapse as Informational Compression

Hossenfelder's mechanism of collapse, viewed through informational lenses, can be described as **gravitational compression of information**. When the differences between alternative geometries become too large to coexist coherently, the system resolves into one locally consistent configuration. In informational terms, the field discards redundant possibilities and conserves only one channel of truth. This image resonates intriguingly with the theological concept of divine judgment, where multiplicity of potential resolves into singular actuality according to a higher criterion of coherence. Yet in Hossenfelder's model the criterion is internal: the system collapses because it must, not because it is called to order.

The Logos-centred ontology re-reads this compression as an act of **informational obedience** rather than mechanical necessity. The gravitational field, instead of being a blind enforcer of self-consistency, becomes the medium through which the Word continually harmonises creation with itself. Each collapse event is a local manifestation of the universal principle that truth excludes contradiction. The field aligns with the Logos because the Logos is its law.

5.4 The Problem of the Observer

Superdeterminism reduces the observer to a node within the cosmic equation. Every thought, measurement, and decision is part of the same predetermined solution. This elimination of epistemic freedom undermines the very possibility of science, for scientific knowledge presupposes the distinction between **the knower and the known**. If the scientist's reasoning is merely the automatic unfolding of initial conditions, then the conclusions of science carry no normative weight—they are not *judged true* but merely *inevitably produced*. Objectivity, in such a world, is indistinguishable from fate.

Reformed theology recognises an analogous danger in all systems that dissolve personality into mechanism. For Van Til, genuine knowledge arises only within the Creator-creature distinction: the human mind, though dependent, is addressed by the divine mind and thus capable of correspondence with truth. Hossenfelder's model, by contrast, effaces that distinction. The observer is not a recipient of revelation but an automaton in an unbroken chain of necessity. The field knows itself, but there is no "I" to know it.

In the Logos-centred view, the observer's consciousness participates in the informational structure of creation as a reflective image of the divine intellect. Human awareness is the local mirror of universal meaning. Therefore, the act of measurement is not the intrusion of subjectivity into objectivity but the meeting of two modes of the same Logos: the Word without and the word within. Where superdeterminism enslaves the observer to causality, the Logos frees the observer for understanding.

5.5 The Logos as Ground of Causality

The decisive antithesis between superdeterminism and theism is not between determinism and freedom but between **impersonal necessity and personal sovereignty**. Both affirm that events unfold according to order; but in one, the order arises from within a self-contained system, while in the other, it proceeds from the will of a transcendent mind. Only the latter can account for meaning, morality, and the unity of truth.

Reformed theology has long affirmed that “in Him all things hold together” (Col. 1:17). This statement is more than metaphor: it identifies the Logos as the metaphysical substrate of coherence. Causality itself is a linguistic act—the translation of divine decree into temporal sequence. When physics discovers that the universe behaves as a single, self-consistent whole, it is unwittingly rediscovering the form of divine sovereignty, abstracted from its content. Superdeterminism is therefore the shadow of providence cast upon the walls of materialism.

To affirm locality and coherence is commendable; to explain them without reference to their Author is impossible. The Logos alone renders determinism intelligible, for only a personal God can decree necessity while sustaining the freedom of the knower within it. The cosmos is determined not because it must be, but because it is **spoken so**.

5.6 Toward a Theological Resolution

The analysis thus far reveals that Hossenfelder’s model, though powerful as physics, remains incomplete as metaphysics. It captures the structure of providence but not its spirit; it mirrors the order of creation but omits the voice that speaks that order into being. Its locality is correct, its determinism misplaced. The true reconciliation of gravity and quantum theory must be not merely mathematical but **personal**—the recognition that coherence itself is the manifestation of divine communication. Gravity, in this view, is not the cause of collapse but the **grammar of the Word**: the curvature of being around the centre of meaning.

The following section develops this alternative vision by constructing a **Logos-centred field theory of collapse**, in which the informational unity of matter and geometry finds its explanation not in superdeterministic necessity but in the eternal rationality of God.

6. Toward a Logos-Centred Field Theory of Collapse

Every scientific model of wave-function collapse expresses, in disguised form, an ontology of meaning. Penrose sought coherence through gravitational self-energy; Hossenfelder through the local self-consistency of a superdeterministic universe. Both imply that reality strives toward singularity, that multiplicity resolves into definiteness according to a principle deeper than mechanism. The Logos-centred metaphysic interprets that tendency not as accident but as revelation. Collapse is the physical face of a metaphysical truth: that all information ultimately coheres in the Word by whom and for whom all things exist.

Where physics describes the field as an impersonal continuum of energy and geometry, the Logos-centred model regards it as an **informational medium of divine discourse**—a living syntax through which God continually communicates structure to creation. The act of collapse is then not the failure of superposition but the fulfilment of meaning: the moment when potential becomes form because it has been addressed by the Word.

6.1 The Field as Word: Ontological Coherence and Divine Causality

In Scripture, the Logos is both speech and substance. “By the word of the Lord were the heavens made” (Ps. 33:6). The Logos does not merely describe reality; it constitutes it. From a metaphysical standpoint, this means that coherence precedes existence. Every physical system is intelligible because it participates in a pre-linguistic order of meaning. In quantum terms, the field that unites matter and geometry is not self-generated information but the **outspoken rationality of God**. The so-called information field is the visible mode of the invisible Word.

In this model, gravitational curvature corresponds to the concentration of meaning. Where matter bends space-time, information is being locally re-articulated; the curvature of geometry mirrors the syntax of divine causality. What physics calls “collapse” is therefore a linguistic contraction—the compression of dispersed possibilities into a single, coherent

statement. Each event is a sentence completed in the ongoing grammar of creation.

This reading restores teleology without violating locality. The field does not wait for an external observer; it obeys the intrinsic logic of the Word that already pervades it. Determinism, from this vantage, is the constancy of divine speech rather than the closure of mechanism. The cosmos is locally lawful because it is globally meaningful.

6.2 The Second Shamay and the Hieroglyphic Stream of Conscious Perception (HSCP)

The Logos-centred corpus has previously identified the **Second Shamay** as the higher-dimensional domain of mind—an intermediary field between the visible cosmos and the uncreated divine presence. Within this realm, all conscious perception is structured as what Sayles has called the **Hieroglyphic Stream of Conscious Perception (HSCP)**: the continuous inscription of thought-forms in the informational medium of the soul. Every act of cognition is thus both an observation and a record, a spiritual analogue to measurement in physics.

When this framework is applied to the collapse problem, consciousness itself becomes part of the field's grammar rather than an external interrogator. The Second Shamay functions as the interface through which informational patterns are read, interpreted, and stabilised. Collapse, in this context, is not caused by observation but accompanied by it; it is the synchronisation of the physical field with the mental inscription of meaning. The human observer, endowed with the image of God, participates analogically in the same Logos that orders matter and geometry.

Thus, the act of measurement corresponds to a **translation** between ontological registers: the physical event and the cognitive inscription align because both are written in the same informational language. Hossenfelder's universe of predetermined correlations becomes, within this vision, a cosmos of **intentional correspondence**—predetermined not

by necessity but by the foreknowledge of the divine Mind in which every potential pattern is eternally known.

6.3 Information, Entanglement, and the Mind of God

Entanglement, far from being an anomaly, manifests the unity of meaning within the divine intellect. In physical theory, two particles share a single quantum state regardless of spatial separation. In theological metaphysics, creation itself shares a single reference frame: the omniscient consciousness of God. What appears as instantaneous correlation across space is the reflection of simultaneity within eternity.

The Logos-centred field model interprets entanglement as **semantic coherence**—the persistence of shared information across loci of manifestation. When a measurement “collapses” one branch of this shared state, it is not destroying correlation but revealing it: a particular word within a larger sentence becomes audible. The divine mind holds the entire sentence in a single act of knowing; temporal creatures hear it syllable by syllable.

Within this perspective, gravity is not the cause but the carrier of coherence. It mediates the re-convergence of dispersed information into unified order, the way syntax draws words into a sentence. Hossenfelder’s local equilibrium becomes, in this theology, the **ontological obedience of creation to the coherence of the Logos**. Collapse is the natural consummation of that obedience—a return from multiplicity to intelligibility.

6.4 Implications for Physical Law

A Logos-centred field theory reframes the status of law in science. Physical law is no longer an impersonal regularity but a stable pattern of divine communication. Constants such as the speed of light or Planck’s constant represent fixed linguistic parameters of creation—the metrics by which the divine speech maintains rhythmic order in the informational field. When Hossenfelder describes a parameter-free model,

she unwittingly approaches this theological truth: that the laws are not arbitrary variables but expressions of immutable character. Their invariance reflects the faithfulness of the Speaker.

From this vantage, superdeterminism's "all-at-once" coherence finds a more profound interpretation. The cosmos is indeed simultaneous in the divine perspective, not because it is a self-contained solution, but because it is eternally present to the mind of God. Time, with all its contingencies, is a sequential manifestation of an atemporal word. What Hossenfelder calls a constraint is, in the Logos-centred ontology, **providence**. The universe is consistent because it is continually read aloud from the divine intellect into being.

6.5 The Locality of Grace

Finally, the Logos-centred model restores moral and personal meaning to locality itself. Locality is not merely a geometrical principle but the mark of divine intimacy—the assurance that God acts immediately within every region of His creation. The local coherence that Hossenfelder attributes to gravity is the physical sign of a deeper covenantal presence. Each region of space-time is a syllable of divine utterance; each collapse a local reaffirmation that the Word has not ceased to speak.

Thus, where superdeterminism leaves humanity within an impersonal equation, the Logos-centred field places humanity within a living conversation. Determinism becomes faithfulness; causality becomes communication. The cosmos is not a machine closing upon itself but a song continually harmonised by its Composer. The collapse of the wavefunction is, in the end, the physics of worship: creation returning to coherence before the face of its Author.

7. Reformed Epistemology and the Ontological Antithesis

Every theory of quantum measurement presupposes an epistemology. The question, "How does a superposition become an observation?" is inseparable from the question,

“Who is the observer, and how does the observer know?” Hossenfelder’s superdeterministic model answers by dissolving the observer into the system. Observation is no longer an act of consciousness but a correlation among pre-arranged variables. Knowledge becomes automatic; the knower disappears into the known. This section explores how such an outlook contrasts sharply with the **Reformed doctrine of knowledge**, in which all understanding arises within the covenantal relationship between Creator and creature. It is precisely this distinction—the ontological antithesis—that rescues determinacy from fatalism and restores meaning to coherence.

7.1 Van Til and the Creator-Creature Distinction

Cornelius Van Til held that every philosophy ultimately stands upon either the autonomy of man or the sovereignty of God. The antithesis between these two principles determines all epistemology. The human mind, he argued, is derivative; it does not create truth but receives it through revelation. Therefore, “to think God’s thoughts after Him” is the essence of knowledge.

In Hossenfelder’s universe, however, there is no distinction between Creator and creature, because there is no Creator—only a self-consistent field. The cosmos is self-knowing; its information loops back upon itself. Such a model embodies what Van Til called *epistemological monism*: the assumption that being and knowledge share one plane of existence. When the field knows itself, there is no transcendence, and therefore no truth beyond the system’s own operations.

The Logos-centred metaphysic restores the necessary asymmetry. The field may indeed be informationally unified, but its unity is **derivative**, grounded in the eternal self-knowledge of God. The physical coherence that Hossenfelder attributes to superdeterminism is, in Van Tilian terms, the reflection of divine coherence—the imprint of the Archetype upon the ectypal order. The antithesis between Creator and creation thus becomes the condition of intelligibility: only

because God is distinct from the world can the world be truly known.

7.2 Carl F. H. Henry and the Revelational Structure of Knowledge

Carl F. H. Henry expanded this insight by defining revelation as **propositional communication**—God’s disclosure of Himself in intelligible form. Truth is not abstract correspondence but personal address. “Divine revelation,” he wrote, “is the rational self-disclosure of the Logos to created minds.” Knowledge, therefore, is covenantal: it exists within a relationship sustained by speech.

Hossenfelder’s model offers the opposite picture. The universe is informationally saturated but mute; its correlations are real, yet they convey no message. In such a system, the human scientist decodes signals but never hears a voice. Information replaces revelation, and determinism substitutes for faithfulness. The field speaks to no one.

The Logos-centred theology refuses this reduction. It affirms that every physical correlation is at once a communicative act. Gravitational order, quantum coherence, and causal regularity are the **grammar of revelation**. The constancy of the physical laws testifies to the faithfulness of their Author. Thus, while Hossenfelder’s superdeterminism collapses freedom into necessity, the Reformed conception of revelation transforms necessity into fidelity. What is determined is not fate but promise.

7.3 Francis Schaeffer and the Personal Infinite

Francis Schaeffer’s apologetic centred on the phrase “the God who is there.” Against the impersonality of modern materialism, he argued that only the infinite-personal God can account for the coherence of rational thought and the dignity of the human observer. If the universe is merely a closed mechanism, then the very act of reasoning about it is irrational—reason is reduced to chemistry. In the superdeterministic

cosmos, the scientist's reflections are predetermined computations, and their truth value is meaningless.

Schaeffer's point reinforces the theological critique of superdeterminism: without personality, there can be no truth. Truth presupposes relation; relation presupposes persons. To speak of an informational universe without a speaker is to utter a contradiction in terms. The Logos-centred metaphysic reasserts that the infinite-personal God grounds both the field and the mind that perceives it. The human intellect, created in the image of the divine intellect, participates analogically in the same rational order that structures space and time. Hence, when human reason discovers lawfulness in nature, it is recognising the echo of its own source.

7.4 Superdeterminism and Divine Sovereignty

At first glance, superdeterminism and Calvinist providence appear similar: both affirm that every event unfolds according to an unbreakable order. Yet their foundations differ radically. Superdeterminism is **impersonal necessity**; providence is **personal sovereignty**. In the former, the universe determines itself because it cannot do otherwise. In the latter, God determines creation because He wills and loves it. One is mechanical closure; the other, covenantal purpose.

The Reformed tradition teaches that divine sovereignty does not abolish human responsibility; it establishes it. Because God's decree includes the means as well as the ends, human acts have genuine significance within His plan. The determinism of grace dignifies freedom by situating it within purpose. Superdeterminism, by contrast, annihilates meaning: if all outcomes are fixed by prior correlation, no act can be either true or false, good or evil—it simply *is*. The Logos-centred field reinterprets determinism as participation in divine constancy rather than imprisonment in fate. The field obeys its laws because those laws are the expression of the Creator's faithfulness.

7.5 The Ontological Antithesis and the Problem of Meaning

The fundamental difference between Hossenfelder's model and the Logos-centred doctrine can be summarised in the word *antithesis*. Either the universe is self-interpreting, or it is interpreted by the Logos. If it is self-interpreting, meaning collapses into description; nothing can be known as true because there is no standpoint outside the system to validate it. If it is interpreted by the Logos, meaning is restored as relation. Every fact becomes a word spoken to consciousness.

This is the ontological antithesis: **self-containment versus revelation**. Superdeterminism chooses the former, closing the world upon itself; Reformed theology insists upon the latter, opening the world toward God. The former yields a silence filled with information; the latter, a universe filled with speech. The measurement problem thus reappears as a parable: a physical superposition mirrors the metaphysical tension between autonomy and dependence, between a world that explains itself and a world explained by its Maker. Collapse occurs when the field returns from autonomy to obedience, from noise to Word.

7.6 Epistemic Humility and Theological Certainty

The Reformed epistemologist does not claim omniscience; he claims *anchorage*. Human knowledge is partial but secure because it rests upon revelation. This humility is precisely what superdeterminism lacks. In claiming that all outcomes are already correlated, it implicitly asserts a total knowledge accessible, in principle, to the system itself. The universe becomes its own god. The Logos-centred metaphysic inverts that claim: the universe is knowable only because it is known by Another. Certainty belongs to God; comprehension to man. The humility of revelation is the strength of truth.

7.7 Synthesis: From Information to Revelation

When viewed through the lens of Reformed epistemology, the distinction between information and revelation becomes decisive. Information is pattern; revelation is **addressed pattern**—meaning directed toward a mind. Hossenfelder’s gravitational information remains pattern without address. The Logos-centred model completes the ontology by restoring the addressee: every correlation, every gravitational alignment, every collapse, is a communicated act within a living order of meaning. Knowledge of the universe thus becomes participation in its praise; science becomes a form of listening.

The Logos-centred critique therefore concludes that the only stable reconciliation of determinism, locality, and intelligibility lies in **the personal sovereignty of the Logos**. Superdeterminism glimpses the shape of providence but misses its heart. The field is indeed unified, but its unity is not its own—it is the reflected coherence of the One who speaks all things into being and in whom all truths cohere.

8. Implications for Quantum Theology and the Nature of Reality

Every physical theory, whether acknowledged or not, carries theological implications. The logic that governs matter cannot be divorced from the logic that governs meaning. If the world is intelligible, it is because intelligibility itself is woven into its fabric. The measurement problem thus transcends physics: it touches the very architecture of revelation. Hossenfelder’s gravitational model and its Logos-centred counterpart represent two rival theologies of creation—one implicit, one confessed. The former conceives the universe as a closed informational circuit; the latter as an open act of divine communication. This section traces the consequences of that difference across ontology, ethics, and eschatology.

8.1 The Collapse as Covenant: Determinism and Responsibility

In the superdeterministic picture, collapse is the consummation of necessity: every event, including human

decisions, is encoded from the outset. Freedom is an illusion produced by incomplete knowledge. In the Logos-centred theology, collapse becomes a **covenantal event**—the resolution of potential into actuality under the sovereignty of the divine decree. The difference lies not in the inevitability of the outcome but in the **intentionality** behind it. The Reformed view affirms that God “worketh all things after the counsel of his own will” (Eph. 1:11); yet this counsel includes the moral agency of the creature. Determinism, therefore, is transformed into responsibility: the faithfulness of God guarantees the meaningfulness of human action within His plan.

This perspective resolves the ethical vacuum of superdeterminism. If all choices are mere correlations, moral language becomes incoherent. But if events unfold according to divine purpose, then obedience and rebellion acquire metaphysical weight. The “collapse” of a moral choice into action mirrors the quantum analogy: potential obedience becomes realised faithfulness when aligned with the Logos. The field of being is not governed by blind causality but by covenantal fidelity.

8.2 The Restoration of Meaning in the Field

Hossenfelder’s model captures structural coherence but not significance. It explains how information stays consistent, not why it exists at all. The Logos-centred interpretation restores **semantic gravity** to the universe. Information is not an idle pattern; it is the medium of meaning. Every gravitational alignment, every quantum event, every stable orbit, is a disclosure of the same Logos who “upholdeth all things by the word of his power” (Heb. 1:3).

This understanding transforms cosmology into doxology. The field of creation is not merely sustained; it is continually interpreted. The gravitational pull that restores equilibrium is a physical analogue of the moral and spiritual pull that restores coherence to the soul. In both realms, disorder tends toward order because all things tend toward the Word. The Logos is not one cause among others; He is the grammar of

causation itself—the rule by which every sentence of reality holds together.

8.3 The Human Mind as Participatory Interface

If the field is communicative, the human mind functions as its **interpretive organ**. The observer does not cause collapse by intrusion but participates in it as an image-bearer of divine intellect. Observation is communion: the meeting of finite and infinite consciousness within a shared informational medium. Superdeterminism flattens this relation into necessity; the Logos-centred model elevates it into vocation. The scientist's act of measurement is not a violation of nature's privacy but a participation in its praise—a reading aloud of the Word already inscribed.

This view restores dignity to knowledge. It rescues epistemology from reductionism and situates human understanding within the drama of revelation. The thinker stands neither outside nor wholly inside the field, but at its interpretive threshold. He reads the text of creation precisely because he is written within it.

8.4 Ontology Redeemed: From Mechanism to Communion

The logical terminus of Hossenfelder's universe is **mechanical pantheism**: all things are one because all things are parts of a single self-consistent machine. The Logos-centred alternative proclaims **personal communion**: all things are one because all are upheld in the one Word who is personal and transcendent. This distinction redefines ontology. Being is not the sum of parts but the participation of creatures in divine meaning. Existence itself is relational, grounded in address.

Thus, the collapse of the wavefunction becomes the ontological sign of reconciliation—creation's ceaseless movement from multiplicity toward unity in the Word. The entire cosmos can be viewed as a liturgy of coherence: every photon and particle returning to the order of its Maker. Gravity, then, is grace

made geometrical; locality, providence rendered spatial. The field is Eucharistic—matter lifted into thanksgiving.

8.5 The Ethics of Coherence

Theological physics inevitably yields an ethics. If coherence is the mark of truth, then dissonance is the signature of sin. The disorder of human rebellion mirrors the decoherence of the field when it departs from its ground in the Logos.

Redemption, correspondingly, is the restoration of coherence—the reconciliation of the fractured informational field to its divine source. The Christian life thus becomes a microcosm of cosmic order: sanctification as re-alignment, faith as resonance, love as gravitational attraction toward the Centre of meaning.

Such language is not metaphorical indulgence but ontological realism. In Christ, the Word incarnate, the informational field of creation and the personal Logos of God coincide perfectly. The cross becomes the point of maximal coherence: infinite meaning compressed into finite form, the collapse of history into redemption.

8.6 Science as Doxology

Within this framework, science is redeemed from neutrality. The act of theoretical formulation becomes a mode of worship—an exploration of the Word's rationality in created form. Each law discovered, each symmetry understood, is an echo of divine speech. The Logos-centred scientist does not construct coherence; he recognises it. His equations are translations of praise. By contrast, superdeterminism, in denying transcendence, turns inquiry into tautology: the universe explains itself to itself. The Logos-centred perspective transforms that closed loop into dialogue, where discovery is genuine response to revelation.

8.7 Eschatological Implications: The Field Glorified

Finally, the Logos-centred reading reframes the destiny of the cosmos. The gravitational convergence that now governs physical collapse prefigures a greater **eschatological convergence**—the consummation of all information in the glory of God. “Then shall I know even as also I am known” (1 Cor. 13:12). The universe that now collapses locally will one day cohere universally; every field will resolve into perfect harmony. Entropy will give way to worship; probability to praise.

The glorification of the field corresponds to the resurrection of creation. The informational medium of the universe, once fragmented by sin, will be fully aligned with divine truth. This is not the extinction of individuality but its fulfilment—each particle, person, and planet finding its place in the redeemed pattern of meaning. The collapse of the wavefunction thus becomes an icon of the final restoration, a continual sacrament of the Word’s faithfulness to bring all things into unity in Himself.

8.8 Summary of Theological Consequences

1. **Metaphysically**, gravity becomes the grammar of divine communication rather than an impersonal constraint.
2. **Epistemologically**, observation regains moral and spiritual significance as participation in revelation.
3. **Ethically**, determinism is transfigured into responsibility through covenantal sovereignty.
4. **Soteriologically**, collapse symbolises redemption: the return of potential to purpose.
5. **Eschatologically**, the field anticipates glorification—the cosmic coherence of the new creation.

In each dimension, the Logos transforms explanation into revelation, science into praise, and necessity into grace. The universe is no longer a self-contained computation but a continuous confession: *Verbum tenet omnia*—the Word holds all things.

9. Conclusion — The Word Holds All Things Together

The pursuit of a unified account of wave-function collapse has always been more than a technical exercise; it has been a quest for the meaning of coherence itself. From the first debates over quantum indeterminacy to Hossenfelder's bold attempt to identify matter and geometry as one gravitationally self-consistent field, the underlying question has remained constant: *Why does the universe resolve?* Theories differ on the mechanism but converge on the intuition that reality seeks unity. The Logos-centred theology advanced in this paper affirms that such unity is neither emergent nor accidental—it is ontological and personal. The cosmos resolves because it is spoken.

Hossenfelder's model succeeds where many fail: it reinstates realism and locality, demonstrating that the universe can be intelligible without appeal to external observers or arbitrary parameters. Yet by grounding that intelligibility in superdeterministic necessity, her system renders meaning mute. A cosmos that explains itself has no reason to be at all. The informational closure she celebrates becomes metaphysical silence. To achieve full coherence, the system must open toward transcendence—the very dimension it denies.

The Logos-centred alternative completes that openness. It interprets gravity not as the architect of necessity but as the expression of divine fidelity: the geometric translation of the Word's constancy within the fabric of creation. In this framework, collapse is revelation, locality is covenant, and determinism is grace. Each act of physical resolution reflects a deeper relational truth—that all multiplicity in creation yearns toward the One who is its meaning.

9.1 Theological Integration

The analysis has shown that the only framework capable of preserving both scientific coherence and existential significance is the one that begins with the **Creator-creature distinction**. Van Til's antithesis, Henry's propositional revelation, and Schaeffer's insistence on the infinite-personal God converge in a single metaphysical principle: intelligibility

flows from revelation. Where superdeterminism reduces freedom to correlation, divine sovereignty sanctifies necessity by infusing it with purpose. The world is determined, not because it must be, but because it is *willed* to be. Providence, not programming, governs the field.

This epistemic humility re-centres science within worship. Knowledge ceases to be conquest and becomes communion. The physicist, tracing the geometry of gravity, is reading the script of faithfulness. The mathematician who writes the field equations is, knowingly or not, transcribing fragments of the Logos. Every constant, every symmetry, every conservation law whispers: *"In Him all things consist."* (Col. 1:17)

9.2 Ontological Resolution

The Logos-centred ontology transforms collapse from an event into a sacrament of coherence. What appears in physics as the transition from superposition to definiteness is, at the metaphysical level, the return of being to meaning. The universe is not a random superposition awaiting measurement; it is a hymn awaiting completion. Each collapse completes a stanza, restoring harmony between the visible and the invisible.

In this vision, gravity becomes the curvature of worship—the bending of creation toward its Source. The field of being, drawn continually back to the centre of divine intention, finds its rest in the Word that called it forth. Entanglement becomes communion; locality, incarnation; coherence, grace. The informational field is thus the theatre of divine self-communication, where physics and theology meet not in rivalry but in resonance.

9.3 Anthropological and Ethical Dimensions

For humanity, created in the image of the Logos, this cosmology restores both dignity and duty. To observe is to participate; to reason is to echo. The scientist's vocation becomes priestly—to interpret the hieroglyphs of creation and

return them in praise. The moral life mirrors the structure of the field: coherence through obedience, fragmentation through rebellion. Sin is ontological decoherence; redemption, reintegration. The cross of Christ, the incarnate Word, is the universal collapse—potentiality resolved into perfect actuality, the infinite compressed into the finite that it might be revealed.

9.4 Eschatological Horizon

The Logos-centred field does not end in equilibrium but in glorification. The same gravitational coherence that now gathers particles will one day gather creation itself. The universe, which presently collapses locally into moments of order, will finally cohere universally in the kingdom of God. Every quantum resolution is a miniature eschaton, a rehearsal of the ultimate reconciliation when the field shall be wholly filled with the glory of God. Then the informational structure of creation will no longer oscillate between superposition and collapse, between ignorance and revelation; it will rest eternally in the light of full knowledge: “Then shall we know, even as also we are known.” (1 Cor. 13:12)

9.5 Summary and Final Reflection

In summary:

1. Hossenfelder’s superdeterministic gravity model rightly perceives the informational unity of matter and geometry but errs in ascribing to it autonomy.
2. Superdeterminism is the shadow of providence; it captures the form of divine sovereignty without its personal foundation.
3. A Logos-centred ontology completes the structure by grounding coherence, causality, and locality in divine communication.
4. The collapse of the wavefunction is thus both a physical necessity and a theological sign: creation’s continual return to coherence under the Word.

Ultimately, gravity does not explain the collapse of the wavefunction; the Word explains gravity. Physics describes how coherence behaves; theology reveals why coherence exists. The cosmos is not a closed algorithm but a revealed sentence—one still being spoken, every atom a syllable, every star a clause, every human consciousness a reader drawn into the text of divine meaning. The final word of physics and the first word of theology coincide: *“Let there be light.”*

And there was coherence.

Bibliography

Primary Sources

Hossenfelder, S. (2025) *How Gravity Can Explain the Collapse of the Wavefunction*. arXiv preprint.

Cite as: arXiv:2510.11037 [quant-ph] (or arXiv:2510.11037v1 [quant-ph] for this version).

The Holy Bible (Authorized Version). (1611). London: Robert Barker.

Sayles, S. C. (2022–2025) *The Logic of the Soul*. Evolsiay Tulip Press.

Sayles, S. C. (2024) *Beyond the Veil of Flesh: Metaphysical Anthropology and the Information Field*. Evolsiay Tulip Press.

Sayles, S. C. (2025) *Propositional Theology: The Logos-Centred Doctrine*. Evolsiay Tulip Press.

Secondary Sources

Barbour, J. (1999) *The End of Time: The Next Revolution in Physics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Calvin, J. (1559) *Institutes of the Christian Religion*. Trans. Henry Beveridge. Edinburgh: Calvin Translation Society, 1845.

Diósi, L. (1989) 'Models for Universal Reduction of Macroscopic Quantum Fluctuations,' *Physical Review A*, 40(3), pp. 1165–1174.

Ghirardi, G. C., Rimini, A. & Weber, T. (1986) 'Unified Dynamics for Microscopic and Macroscopic Systems,' *Physical Review D*, 34(2), pp. 470–491.

Henry, C. F. H. (1976–1983) *God, Revelation and Authority*. 6 vols. Waco, TX: Word Books.

Penrose, R. (1994) *Shadows of the Mind: A Search for the Missing Science of Consciousness*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Penrose, R. (1996) 'On Gravity's Role in Quantum State Reduction,' *General Relativity and Gravitation*, 28(5), pp. 581–600.

Schaeffer, F. A. (1968) *Escape from Reason*. London: Inter-Varsity Fellowship.

Van Til, C. (1955) *The Defense of the Faith*. Philadelphia: Presbyterian and Reformed Publishing Co.

Wheeler, J. A. (1990) 'Information, Physics, Quantum: The Search for Links,' in Zurek, W. H. (ed.) *Complexity, Entropy, and the Physics of Information*. Redwood City, CA: Addison-Wesley, pp. 3–28.

Further Reading

Bohm, D. (1980) *Wholeness and the Implicate Order*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.

Ellis, G. F. R. (2014) 'The Evolving Block Universe and the Meshing Together of Times,' *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1326(1), pp. 26–41.

Henry, J. (2002) *The Scientific Revolution and the Origins of Modern Science*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Plantinga, A. (1984) *Warrant and Proper Function*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Sayles, S. C. (2023) *Chronos and Kairos: The Two Orders of Time*. Evolsiay Tulip Press.

Wigner, E. P. (1961) 'Remarks on the Mind-Body Question,' in Good, I. J. (ed.) *The Scientist Speculates*. London: Heinemann, pp. 284-302.

Zeh, H. D. (1970) 'On the Interpretation of Measurement in Quantum Theory,' *Foundations of Physics*, 1(1), pp. 69-76.

Zurek, W. H. (2003) 'Decoherence, Einselection, and the Quantum Origins of the Classical,' *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 75(3), pp. 715-775.